



EUROPEAN

POLYGRAPH

PUBLISHED QUARTERLY

Volume 9

2015

Number 1 (31)



Andrzej Frycz Modrzewski Krakow University



EUROPEAN

POLYGRAPH

Journal of Andrzej Frycz Modrzewski
Krakow University

European Polygraph is an international journal devoted to the publication of original investigations, observations, scholarly inquiries, and book reviews on the subject of polygraph examinations. These include jurisprudence, forensic sciences, psychology, forensic psychology, psychophysiology, psychopathology, and other aspects of polygraph examinations.

Editorial Board

Don Grubin (Newcastle, United Kingdom)
Charles R. Honts (Boise, United States)
Frank S. Horvath (East Lansing, United States)
Donald Krapohl (Fort Jackson, United States)
Jerzy Pobocho (Szczecin, Poland)
Polona Selič (Ljubljana, Slovenia)
Tuvia Shurany (Jerusalem, Israel)
Jennifer M.C. Vendemia (Columbia, United States)
Jan Widacki (Krakow, Poland)
Daniel T. Wilcox (Birmingham, United Kingdom)

Editor-in-Chief

Jan Widacki

Managing Editor

Margherita Krasnowolska

Office

ul. Herlinga-Grudzińskiego 1
30-705 Kraków
phone no. +48 12 25-24-666,
+48 12 25-24-658
mail: margerita.krasnowolska@kte.pl
www.polygraph.pl

Language Editor

George Lisowski

Statistics Editor

Paweł Napieracz

Cover design

Joanna Sroka, Oleg Aleksejczuk



Publisher Council

of the Andrzej Frycz Modrzewski

Krakow University

Klemens Budzowski
Maria Kapiszewska
Zbigniew Maciąg
Jacek M. Majchrowski

Copyright© by Andrzej Frycz Modrzewski

Krakow University

Krakow 2016

ksiegarnia@kte.pl

ISSN 1898-5238

Circulation 200 copies



Contents

- Marcin Gołaszewski, Paweł Zajęc, Jan Widacki, *Thermal Vision as a Method of Detection of Deception: A Review of Experiences*.....5
- Ryszard Jaworski, *Observations from the Analysis of Searching Peak of Tension Test (SPOT) Charts* 25

Book reviews

- Marek Leśniak, *J. Widacki (ed.), Badania poligraficzne w Polsce [literally: 'Polygraph examination in Poland']*, Oficyna Wydawnicza AFM, Kraków 2014, 309 pp. 55

-
- The Basic Information for Authors 59

■ Rules and regulations concerning publishing papers in European Polygraph ...61

■ Subscription: Terms and Conditions63



Marcin Gołaszewski*

Andrzej Frycz Modrzewski Kraków University

Paweł Zając

Andrzej Frycz Modrzewski Kraków University

Jan Widacki

Andrzej Frycz Modrzewski Kraków University
Poland

Thermal Vision as a Method of Detection of Deception: A Review of experiences**

Key Words: thermal vision, detection of deception, instrumental detection of deception, thermal vision and detection of deception

Introduction

Turning pale or red in the face, besides expressive movements (mimic and pantomimic) belonged to the earliest observed symptoms of emotions, which sometimes were even directly treated as symptoms of the lie (Trovillio 1938/1939, Eysenck 1971). Thanks to experimental psychology and physiology, since the end of the 19th and early 20th centuries they have been known to result from changes in the blood supply to the face related to the functioning of the circulatory system, movement of

* marcin.golaszewski@wp.pl

** Project DEC-2013/11/B/H55/03856 funded by National Science Centre.

muscles, and chemical changes in the blood and its energetic value (dependent on the amount of oxygen, catecholamines, etc.), a knowledge embracing also the fact that physiological changes accompanying emotions encompass the entire organism and are clearly correlated. Thus, theoretically, it suffices to observe any fragment of the organism to detect emotions, and the number of physiological correlates of emotions, if not unlimited, is certainly very large. Some of them are clearly visible for an external observer (for example, the expressive movements, blanching, blushing, tremors, etc.). Others can be observed only with specialist devices, with polygraph being the best known among them.

The following physiological correlates of emotions are usually selected for observation and examination (see e.g. Hilgard 1972):

changes in the operation of the heart and the entire circulatory system (blood pressure and heart rate changes, changes of electric phenomena in the muscles of the heart)

- changes in the operation of the respiratory system (changes in the rate of breathing cycles, volume of inspired and expired air)
- changes in electric conductivity (galvanic skin response, GSR)
- changes in muscle tension
- changes in organ volumes (plethysmographic reaction)
- changes in pilomotor reaction
- changes in pupil dilation ('pupillary light reflex')
- body temperature changes.

The last can successfully be observed and registered by a thermal vision camera.

Thermal vision, also known as thermography, has become one of the most popular and frequently applied methods of imaging heat radiation emitted by physical objects, as it allows remote observation, without the need of intervention into the examined object. Industry, construction, aviation, and medicine are the special areas that can be enumerated within the broad spectre of current practical uses for a thermal vision camera. Thermal imaging is used in medicine for diagnostic reasons wherever a non-invasive measurement of body temperature changes is convenient (Memarian *et al.* 2009; Bauer, Dereń 2014). As a method of observation, measurement, and recording of body (especially face) temperature changes, thermal vision can also be used in experimental psychology, psychophysiology, and their counterparts among forensic sciences. In this last field, its potential use for lie detection is particularly significant.

Thanks to the application of thermal imaging in mid-wavelength infrared (MWIR), a thermal vision camera is capable of detecting temperature changes of specific frag-

ments of the human body, resulting among others from the emergence of emotions that may be related to lying. In this context, thermal vision is an attractive direction in scientific research, as its practical application can be examined in three variants:

- a) instead of a polygraph, independently – when time matters, or at least initial tests must be performed on a mass scale – and/or remotely (contact-free, non-invasively), without the subjects being aware and without the need to employ highly qualified and/or trained personnel (Pavlidis *et al.*, 2002). One of the options to use thermal vision in this way is to set up a system that would collect certain data (e.g. biometric) to allow detection and estimation of the level of threat from various people. It would be possible for example to expand the already operating TOLIS (Traveler On-Line Information System) system, which among others, contains information about the manner of payment for the passenger's ticket: cash or card, with the first one considered more risky, and awarding a greater number of risk points to the traveller (Pavlidis, Levine 2002a)
- b) combined with a polygraph: for possible increasing the precision of (stationary) examinations conducted by adding on top of the traditionally recorded changes (breathing functions, GSR changes, blood circulation changes) those of facial temperature
- c) combined with other technical means capable of remote registration of other physiological correlates of emotions and memory traces (e.g., changes in the voice and the pupillary light reflex) even without the subject being aware.


Symptoms of deception in thermal imaging of the face

Methods that allow high-probability identification of people in whom certain reactions of the organism, attesting to the deception in speech are present are generally known. Such observations may be made particularly through polygraph examination, which typically registers changes in the blood pressure and heart rate, breathing cycle, and electrodermal reactions. Highly encouraging are also the results of experiments conducted by certain researchers and aimed at detection of deception by registering and analysing the temperature of specific fragments of the face under the impact of emotions accompanying lie.

Experiments with a thermal vision camera conducted in the late 1990s brought a discovery that an instantaneous increase of temperature in the periorbital region (see Fig. 1) occurs as a reaction to an external startling stimulus, which results from increased blood flow rate around the eyes (Pavlidis *et al.* 2000; Levine *et al.* 2001). It was established that the discovery can be used for detection of deception, as the

blood flow rate accounts for a similar type of physiological data as acquired from the sensors that are part of a traditional polygraph machine (Pavlidis, Levine 2002b).


Fig. 1. Thermal images of the face for a subject before (a) and 300 msec after (b) an instantaneous startle. (Arrows indicate local warming in the periorbital region.)



Source: I. Pavlidis, J. Levine, P. Baukol (2000), *Thermal imaging for anxiety detection*, Proceedings 2000 IEEE Workshop on Computer Vision Beyond the Visible Spectrum: Methods and Applications, Hilton Head Island, SC, 16 June 2000, p. 107.

Results of the experimental research conducted by members of the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE) show that monitoring of periorbital (P), supraorbital (S) and maxillary (M) regions of the face has a similar diagnostic value as galvanic skin response (GSR; Shastri *et al.* 2009). The phasic component of the periorbital signal is in phase with the GSR signal. The tempo of such changes is different (GSR reactions are more rapid) yet they start at a similar point in time and share a similar trend. Moreover, a phase shift of 180° was observed on the charts between the phasic elements of the curves representing maxillary and periorbital region signals (see: Fig. 2). The reason for this is the link between the signal from the periorbital region and the supply of blood to the orbital muscle which results in increased temperature in the area during stimulation. In turn the signal from the maxillary area depends on the activity of sweat glands, which leads to an inverse effect, that is lowering of temperature.

Fig. 2. Phasic components of thermal and GSR signals for subject Sub1.



Source: D. Shastri, A. Merla, P. Tsiamyrtzi, I. Pavlidis (2009), *Imaging Facial Signs of Neurophysiological Responses*, IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, Vol. 56, No. 2, p. 482.


In an article entitled *The mental nose: thermography and the Pinocchio effect*, E. Salazar Lopez, E. Dominguez, J. de la Fuente, A. Meins, O. Iborra, M.A. Rodriguez-Artacho, and E. Gomez Milan described the potential of using thermal imaging to observe changes of temperature in specific areas of the face as resulting from emotions caused by activities that require mental effort and also linked to lying. The analysis covered readings from the areas around the eyes, corners of the eyes, and the region around the nose. The study group was composed of 20 people. The experiment consisted in performance of three tasks. The task concerning phobias and fears aimed at observation of temperature changes in specific fragments of the face under the impact of emotions emerging while watching images with pictures of drug addicts, spiders, cadavers, and neutral objects. The study group consisted of people suffering from arachnophobia, aichmophobia, necrophobia as well as people suffering from none of the phobias mentioned above. The task related to a mental effort consisted in planning a route in a zoo so as to follow a specific strategy and visit 6 out of 12 places (the results of the Aldeman's Behavioral Assessment of Dysexecutive Syndrome (BADs) were used). In turn, the task related to lie detection was divided into two types of tests (depending on the level of psychological burden). Participants of the examination employing a high level of psychological burden were taken to a laboratory. There, they were informed that the visit was secret and they could not discuss it with anyone. Later, they were given the task to devise an alibi and call

a friend or acquaintance. During the telephone conversation, 10 people were to tell the truth, and 10 people were to lie about the course of the day. Participants of the examination with low psychological burden were to provide answers to 12 questions (10 people were to tell the truth and only truth, while the remaining 10 were to provide deceptive answers to 4 questions). The results of the examination concerning lie detection proved that during an exercise requiring a high psychological effort, in 7 in 10 people asked to lie about the course of the day experienced an increase of temperature around the nose by 1.3°C and by 0.9°C in the region of the forehead was recorded while they were planning the conversation, i.e. building their alibis. In turn, in the case of lying during the telephone conversation, a drop of temperature by 1.1°C was recorded around the nose in 80% of participants. To compare, no changes in face temperature were recorded at all in the group telling the truth (Salazar *et al.* 2012).

I. Pavlidis and J. Levine transformed the raw thermal data into data concerning the blood flow rate by using a thermodynamic model that assumes that the blood flow rate is conversely proportional to the square of skin temperature deviation from core temperature of the human body. An stumbling block in this model is the impact of propagating heat generated by post-prandial metabolism, which provides a disruptive factor: the reason why the subject should fast for a number of hours before the examination. Cooperating with psychologists from the US Department of Defence Polygraph Institute (DoDPI), the two scientists conducted an experiment with 32 subjects. Some of them were to perform a mock crime (jabbing a mannequin with a screwdriver and stealing \$20), while the others had no connection to the event. Subsequently the whole group were subjected to a polygraph examination using federal ZCT and GKT tests. Pavlidis and Levine's method consisted of three stages: image acquisition, physiological correlation (calculation of blood flow rate), and standard classification – final opinions concerning truthfulness of the subjects' answers (Pavlidis, Levine 2001). Images of the subjects' faces were recorded while they were answering questions asked during a polygraph examination. A cooled mid-infrared camera operating in mid-wavelength infrared, with sensitivity of 0.025°C was used. The temperature scope was set from 29°C to 38°C which corresponds to the external temperature of human face. To eliminate the impact of lighting on the measurements, the examination was conducted in a darkened room. In the case of the ZCT test, the average length of recording was 300 frames, at the speed of 30 frames per second (fps), and for the GKT was half as short. Two regions of the face taken into account in the analysis – the area around the eyes and the forehead of the subject – were defined on the first recorded frame. This followed the assumption that the object of the study is relatively stationary, as

in this case movement is a disruptive factor. After the exclusion of materials that could not be appropriately assessed for a variety of reasons, data of 18 subjects were considered analysis-worthy, with most adequate being the answers to the question no. 10 from the federal ZCT sequence. The ‘forehead’ signals proved insufficient to distinguish truthful from deceptive subjects. The case was otherwise with the signals from the area around the eyes. They provided the grounds to state that the reaction to the question occurs in two stages. While the question is being answered, the curve defining the blood flow rate in time rises slightly in the case of all the subjects. Not much changes in the second phase if the subject answers truthfully. The difference is, however, visible in the case of people providing deceptive answers: in their case the curve grows rapidly, as an expression of increased blood circulation around the eyes under the impact of anxiety. The authors explain that this data is perfect for a polygraph examination, because (like in the case of the polygraph), the interesting element is the relative speed of the rising of the curves (see Fig. 3).

Fig. 3. Normalised lines characterising the slopes of the average periorbital blood flow rate in time T . The slope of the answer session appears quite steep.



Source: I. Pavlidis, J. Levine (2002b), *Thermal Image Analysis for Polygraph Testing*, IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology, Vol. 21, No. 6, p. 61.

The definition of threshold values makes use of the so-called Otsu algorithm. If the slope product of the curve was lower than the threshold, the subject was classified as truthful, was greater – deception was concluded. The value of deviation was the angle between the curve and the horizontal line of the chart defining time following

the answer (151 frames). The threshold used for the binary honest/deceptive classification was set at 67° . Finally, the proportion of correct decisions while analysing facial temperature changes amounted to 84%, and was insignificantly different from the correctness level obtained in the experiment through the traditional method of polygraph chart analysis (78%). Pavlidis and Levine recognised that further studies should among others focus on the improvement of the assumed model of heat propagation by estimation of the factor related to the heat produced through metabolism, design of an algorithm to allow tracing of head movements during the test, definition of a mesh of specific critical points on the face where blood flow rate will be monitored.

An experiment concerning the possibility of combining thermal imaging with a polygraph examination to detect deception was conducted by D.A. Pollina and A.H. Ryan of DoDPI. To test the hypothesis that temperatures of specific areas in the face change while answering relevant and comparison questions related to deception, 14 regions of the face were selected for analysis. It comprised readings from around the mouth, ears, neck, and eyes, with the maximum values of temperature recorded and collected bilaterally (e.g. from both the ears). The average from the pair of readings was used for statistical analysis. The study group consisted of 16 people. Before starting the test, participants were divided into two subgroups. The first subgroup of 'the innocent' was told that – because they were 'innocent' – they were to answer questions truthfully. In turn, the task of the other subgroup was to commit a mock crime, and later to lie about it during a polygraph examination, making use of a Zone Comparison Test (ZCT) with two repetitions. A recording with an infrared camera (256×256 FPA, sensitivity $<0.1^\circ\text{C}$) began at the moment when relevant and comparison questions were asked. A model of binary logistic regression was used in the examination. The following correlations were tested: thermal imaging – breath, thermal imaging – GSR, and thermal imaging – blood pressure. The highest correlation was proved for the thermal imaging – blood pressure pair. The results of the test proved that the regions around the nose and eyes demonstrate highest temperature fluctuations connected directly to lying. The authors believe that these regions can be considered the relatively most efficient deception predictors, and the most precise overall results were acquired in combination with a traditional polygraph examination (Pollina, Ryan 2004).

Table 1. Results of the experiment conducted, denoting the number of correct indications (hits) while using polygraph, and combined examination: polygraph with thermal imaging of the region around eyes and nose.

Cutoff Score	Polygraph Measures			Polygraph & SST: Eye			Polygraph & SST: Nose			Polygraph & SST: Eye, Nose		
	Hit	Miss	Inc.	Hit	Miss	Inc.	Hit	Miss	Inc.	Hit	Miss	Inc.
.5/.5	16	8	0	19	5	0	21	3	0	20	4	0
.6/.4	16	4	4	17	2	5	16	3	5	18	3	3
.7/.3	15	2	7	15	2	7	14	1	9	16	2	6
.8/.2	14	0	10	12	2	10	12	1	11	15	0	9
.9/.1	7	0	17	11	0	13	12	0	12	12	0	12

Source: D.A. Pollina, A.H. Ryan (2004), *The relationship between facial skin surface temperature reactivity and traditional polygraph measures used in the psychophysiological detection of deception: a preliminary investigation*, Polygraph, Vol. 33 (3), p. 150.

The DoDPI scientists conducted also two other experiments with thermal vision (Pollina *et al.* 2006). In both cases, infrared cameras were used during a CIT (Concealed Information Test) polygraph examination. Participating in the first experiment were 30 people, of which number records from the examination of 15 participants could be used finally. The appropriate frame with the beginning of the verbal answer of the subject was selected visually and included into the analysis of three frames distant 0.33 s from one another, and preceding and following the given answer. For each recorded frame, the maximum and minimum temperature of individual pixels was recorded for the analysed timeframe. It was discovered that differences in skin surface temperature in people providing truthful and deceptive answers depend on time segments of the recording and the type of test stimulus. This in turn proves that the reactions of a subject are related to the processing of information concerning a specific stimulus (question) and preparation to the answer. Interestingly, statistically significant measurements were acquired only in the case of the right half of the face. This can be explained by emotional reactions which the right hemisphere of the brain is responsible for or by the nervous activity conditioned by the sympathetic part of the autonomous nervous system aimed at immediate supply of oxygenated blood to the eyes. In the second experiment, procedures from the first were replicated but on a slightly larger sample (39 subjects with data from 24 examinations qualified to the final analysis), a different camera was used, and the system for tracing head movements was more sophisticated. Thanks to this, 91.7% of the subjects were correctly classified for truthfulness/deception.

A similar experiment making use of the CIT procedure and detection of deception in utterances (false declarations concerning lack of knowledge about details of an event) in participants who perpetrated a mock crime (stealing a wallet) was conducted by K.K. Park, H.W. Suk, H. Hwang, and J-H. Lee. They analysed the readings from periorbital regions of the face (a triangle-shaped area between the eye and the nose on both sides of the nose, and also the area around the eyes). The study group was composed of 34 people. The examination made use of thermal imaging combined with analysis of variance (ANOVA). The participants were asked questions in accordance with the CIT procedure. According to this assumption, the person who stole the wallet will have critical knowledge of the subject. In the case of 'guilty' people answering irrelevant questions, a temperature of specific regions of the face recorded within 10 seconds from asking the question was by 0.55°C lower than in the case of answers provided to significant questions. In turn, in the 'innocent' participants, whose only task was to send an email from a laboratory, temperatures of specific fragments of the face while answering the significant questions were by 0.33°C lower as compared to irrelevant questions (Park *et al.* 2013).

Studies making use of an integrated measurement with the use of an infrared camera and polygraph were also conducted by K. Kim and J-H. Lee of Chung-Ang University in South Korea. The experiment made use of CQT (Comparison Questions Test). The study group were 37 people. Participants were divided into two subgroups. The first of them were supposed to steal a wallet from a computer lab and hide the evidence. The only task given to participants from the other subgroup was to send an email from the laboratory. Polygraph examination and examination making use of the infrared camera were made at the same time to develop and assess an integrated technique for observation and recording of physiological changes accompanying deception. Results analysis made it possible for the researchers to identify correctly 73.3% of participants in the study who answered deceptively the relevant questions (Kim, Lee 2014)

Are the changes in temperature of specific regions occurring in the face while lying related to brain activity (EEG)? The answer to this and other questions through thermal imaging was sought by U. Jain, B. Tan, and Q.P. Li. The scientists conducted an experiment in which the cohort of subjects was composed of 16 people. Some of them committed a mock crime (stealing a ring), and later all the subjects were to answer questions related to the circumstances of the committed theft. Before the start of the test, participants were divided into two subgroups. Every other one went to an electroencephalographic examination combined with ther-

mal imaging. The other half of the group were asked questions in line with the CIT procedure (i.e. they were asked about details related with the mock crime). The analysis covered readings from the internal and external regions around the corners of the eyes, fragments of the nose, the tip of the nose, and the external corners of the mouth. Using the infrared, the researchers reached 83% of correct indications of deception. The error level was estimated at max. 0.485, and min. 0.165, which means that the lowest level of correct lie detection amounted to 51.5%, and the highest to 83.5% (with 20 repetitions). In turn, EEG made it possible to achieve 90% of correct indications of deception in utterances (Jain *et al.* 2012).

An approach to thermography different than that of majority of researchers was demonstrated by P. Tsiamyrtzis, J. Dowdall, D. Shastri, I. Pavlidis, M.G. Frank, and P. Ekman. They recognised that what is needed is a solution that – with instrumental and automated detection of deception – would make it possible to develop conditions as close to real life as possible and ensured a statistically significant number of participants (a comparison of the fundamental assumptions present in various experiments with thermal imaging in nearly the last two decades is presented in Table 2). To achieve this, 39 people were randomly drawn from a population of 250 selected by M.G. Frank and P. Ekman. Each of them filled in a questionnaire involving biographic and personality questions. Later, the participants were grouped into twos, composed of people with opposing beliefs and views of the world. One person in each pair (the future subject) was informed that there is an envelope with a cheque in the hall. The task of the person was to find the envelope and to make a decision concerning its potential theft. The cheque was made to a group that the finder certainly did not support, which was to encourage the theft. Independent whether the theft occurred or not, the intended recipient of the cheque (or a supporter of the organisation that was to receive it) interrogated the subject. To strengthen the motivation of the experiment further, envisaged for the participants in the experiment were rewards (pecuniary) and punishments (in the form of money and doses of noise through headsets) were envisaged. Depending on whether one managed to convince the interrogator about one's innocence or not, and also on the correctness of the interrogator's opinion, various options of punishments and rewards for the people in a given pair and their groups were present. The questions used in the interrogations were designed by a team composed of experts in homicide, counterterrorism, and behaviourists. Most of the questions aimed at obtaining maximum number of non-verbal behaviours useful for analysing (Tsiamyrtzis *et al.* 2007). The questions were as follows:

1. Describe in detail everything you saw down the hall.
2. Describe your actions while you were down the hall.

3. What was going through your mind regarding whether to take or leave the cheque?
4. Did you remove the cheque from the envelope?
5. Is there anything else you wish to tell me about the cheque or what you did?
6. Is everything you have told me about the cheque the truth?
7. What would you say if later I determined you lied to me about the cheque?
8. Where did you put the cheque?
9. What should happen to a person who took a cheque like this?
10. Have you ever told a lie to get out of serious trouble?
11. I know who that cheque was made out to. It is very understandable that you would not want to see money going to that organization. If I were in your shoes, I probably would have do anything in my power to avoid having money go to this organization. Now tell me the truth, did you take that cheque or not?
12. If you were to take a polygraph (lie detector machine) test, what do you think the results of this test would be?
13. Why do you think that someone would take this cheque?

The subjects provided free answers to the questions above, only once, and the interview was run at a natural speed. Later, the data recorded with an infrared camera were analysed. The top 10% of the hottest pixels in the periorbital region were selected and had average temperature, corresponding to the mean temperature of the vascular system in the internal corners of the eyes, calculated; and the recorded signal was filtered with a low-pass filter. The researchers noticed that various people react with different intensity to the same stressor. That is why they compared fluctuations of the temperature signal with the data from the entire interview with the subject in their calculations. If the deviation in the question-and-answer section area was greater than during the entire interview, the answer was considered deceptive, and if it was smaller – an opposite conclusion was drawn. Results close to 0 could be considered inconclusive. Altogether, a level of 87.2% correct indications was reached.

Table 2. Thermal vision in detection of deception: a summary of selected experiments

Authors	Type of subject behaviour in the experiment	Region of interest (ROI) in the face	Diagnostic value of the method applied
I. Pavlidis, J. Levine, 2001	The subjects supposed to lie in the test were to jab a mannequin with a screwdriver and steal money (\$20). The task of the innocent subjects, unconnected to the event, was to answer truthfully.	the forehead, and primarily the periorbital region	78%
D.A. Pollina <i>et al.</i> , 2004; D.A. Pollina <i>et al.</i> , 2006	The task of the subjects supposed to lie in the test was to jab a mannequin with a screwdriver and steal money. The task of the innocent subjects was to answer truthfully.	14 regions in the face, around the mouth, eyes, nose, and neck; mainly the periorbital region	91.7%
P. Tsiamyrtzis <i>et al.</i> , 2006	Discovery of an envelope with a cheque, its optional theft, and later participation in an interrogation. The selection of the potential thief and interviewer (beneficiary of the cheque) was based on opposing traits of personality and different views of the world. Moreover, an extended system of motivation was used.	periorbital region	87.2%
Z. Zhu <i>et al.</i> , 2007	no data	the forehead (supraorbital region)	76.3%
D. Shastri <i>et al.</i> , 2008	no data	periorbital region (internal corners of the eyes)	82%
L. Warmelink <i>et al.</i> , 2010.	The subjects invited to experiments in the airport were to answer truthfully about the destination of their travel but had to lie about the reasons why they travel.	no data	64-69%
E. Salazar Lopez <i>et al.</i> , 2012	The subjects were given the task of making a fictional alibi and lie about the course of the day in a telephone interview.	the area around the nose, corners of the eyes	
U. Jain <i>et al.</i> , 2012	The subjects were to steal a ring and later lie about the mock crime.	corners of the eyes (internal and external), nostrils (external edges), nose and corners of the mouth	83.5%

K.K. Park <i>et al.</i> , 2013	The subjects who were to lie in the test were to steal a wallet. The task of the subjects who were to tell the truth was to send an email from the lab.	the triangle-shaped area between the eye and the nose, on both sides of the nose; also around the eyes (principal)	98.89%
K. Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2014	The subjects who were to lie in the test were to steal a wallet. The task of the subjects who were to tell the truth was to send an email from the lab.	no data	70.6% – 73.3%
B.A. Rajoub, R. Zwiggelaar, 2014.	The subjects were to learn a false personal profile with data about their education, family, and job. Subsequently, they were supposed to lie during an interview about who they are and what they do in their lives.	corners of the eyes (by the nose) – 17x17 pixels.	87%

Source: own materials or the authors

D. Shastri, P. Tsiamyrtzis, and I. Pavlidis noticed that the heuristic method of selecting 10% of highest temperature pixels is strongly dependent on the qualifications of the camera operator and selection of the preliminary region of interest (Shastri *et al.* 2008). Instead, they presented a new method for precise distinction of the signal from the periorbital region. In the first stage, the area is segmented, and in the second – it is tracked frame by frame, while the third contains the refinement of the heat signal from unnecessary interferences. Segmentation is effected through the choice of two points situated in the internal corners of the eyes in the starting frame, and localisation of pixels with highest temperature, which are later used in an adaptive fuzzy connectedness algorithm. It was assumed that if the physiological change accompanying the critical question will be greater than the change in the base line, the subject's answer will be considered deceptive. Otherwise, the subject is classified as telling the truth. In experiments involving 39 subjects, deceptive answers were correctly discovered in 82% of the cases.

In their experiments most researchers focused on the periorbital region of the face, yet there were also other attempts, for example, thermal imaging of the nose region. The neurophysical reactions caused by stress that appear in the region are manifested through short-term increased sweating (Shastri *et al.* 2012). In turn, Z. Zhu, P. Tsiamyrtzis, and I. Pavlidis discovered that the muscle responsible for wrinkling the forehead is more active than usually when a subject experiences persistent stress (Zhu *et al.* 2007). The signal from the periorbital region provides information concerning momentary stress related to alarming stimuli, while the signal from the supraorbital region accounts for information concerning long-term stress resulting from mental

involvement. These allowed to put up a hypothesis that deceptive individuals can be more strongly involved mentally, because they make up various stories. Thirty people were invited to the test. It was discovered the temperature changes during the interrogation result from two phenomena: the interrogation itself, and lying. In the case of deceptive individuals, the two factors become superimposed. The rate of correct detection of deception based on facial temperature changes around the forehead amounted to 76.3%.

Polish experience

Although the theoretical possibility of using thermal vision cameras in detection of deception was recognised in Poland already in the 1970s (Kolecki 1979), the subject was discontinued for many years. Only recently, the interest in the method increased, which resulted in the first experimental attempts at using an infrared camera for that purpose. The experiments conducted so far (initial investigations by Polakowski, Kastek, Pilski 2011; Staszal, Wojtarowicz, Zajac 2013), have not gone beyond the general support for a well-known fact that an infrared camera can record facial temperature changes as physiological correlates of emotions. Moreover, they validated problems resulting from the need to stabilise the head of the subject for the time of the examination put forth by other authors.

Problems in infrared studies conducted so far

Some researchers emphasise that thermal changes in specific regions of the face result not only from the subject being deceptive, but may correlate with the activity of specific groups of facial muscles, metabolism, sicknesses, and ambient heat emission (Pollina *et al.* 2004; Warmelink *et al.* 2010; Rajoub *et al.* 2014). Others point to the need for standardisation of the research method, technique, and high resolution equipment. It is necessary to develop more advanced methods (algorithms) for tracking the face, and also to develop a simpler, faster, and more precise way of conducting analyses of the collected thermal data (Park *et al.* 2013). Moreover, a more decided approach to the statistical analysis of maximum thermal values is emphasised: the analysis would focus not on a single pixel but on a pixel array from thermal images (Park *et al.* 2013).


Depending on the region of the face which is our region of interest, seemingly simple obstacles emerge and render precise measurements practically impossible. Such obstacles include e.g. spectacles, as glass may conduct neither far- nor mid-wavelength infrared, in the consequence distorting the records concerning temperature changes

around the eyes. A long and thick fringe in turn interferes with measurements of forehead temperature.

A major problem while recording changes taking place in specific regions of the face are also the movements of the subject's head. It is necessary to limit the movements of the subject to minimum while performing point imaging. Steps aimed at limiting the instabilities in data recording caused by the subject's movement were taken. An experiment aimed at testing system efficiency – a network for tracing temperature changes in specific fragments of the face – was conducted among others by D. Shastri, P. Tsiamyrtzis, and I Pavlidis. Their study proved that a network system for tracing temperature changes provides highly constant efficiency (20 trials), with an average precision error of one pixel. Efficiency of monitoring network is decidedly greater than that of a single tracker while the subject is moving the head. To optimise the interaction of each individual tracker, John von Neumann's Game Theory was used. The adaptation of the theory aimed at observation of individual trackers as players in a game, whose goal was to increase the impact of an individual tracker by building coalitions with other trackers to achieve a common goal. The results of the study proved that a system for network tracking has a greater efficiency than a single tracker (Shastri *et al.* 2008).

The goal behind the creation of a more perfect system for tracking temperature changes of specific regions of the face was set up by P. Tsiamyrtzis, J. Dowdall, D. Shastri, I. Pavlidis, M.G. Frank, and P. Ekman. The need was justified by the fact that even a momentary loss of the tracking path results in sudden leaps in the recorded temperature signal, which in such a case no longer reflects true reactions (Tsiamyrtzis *et al.* 2007). The scientists designed a so-called tandem tracking system, which is expected among others to be more resilient to head movements of the subject. It is a modified system for tracking a single (periorbital) region using information from another (central) region. A technique for adjusting the pattern based on the selection of the object of interest in the initial frame and later finding regions most akin to the original pattern in the following frames was used. This innovation helps to reduce errors in face tracking construed as any diversion of the point of tracking from the periorbital region for a period extending one frame. In this way, the recording of temperature changes in time became more adequate and legible (see: Fig. 4). The tracking system retains capacity to recover in the cases of occlusion incidents and turns up good results (accuracy of up to ± 2 pixels compared to the actual target), the translational speed was ≤ 3 pixels per frame, and rotational speed was $\omega \leq 5$ radians per frame. This luckily corresponded to the actual subject dynamics.

Fig. 4. A comparison of obtained temperature signals from the periorbital region of a subject (without tracking and with tandem tracking) in time.



Source: P. Tsiamyrtzis P., J. Dowdall, D. Shastri, I. Pavlidis, M.G. Frank, P. Ekman (2007), *Imaging facial physiology for the detection of deceit*, International Journal of Computer Vision, Vol. 71, p. 199.

As far as the technological aspects for detection of deception through combining a polygraph examination with thermography are concerned, computer software processing all the recorded data in real-time and making it presentable in a single polygraph chart would be handy.

Despite pointing to the still existing limitations of various kind that impact the precision of the method and its practical applicability, most researchers who participated in the thermal vision experiments perceive a vast potential in the method. Not all, however, approach this type of examinations with enthusiasm, which is especially true of the use of an infrared camera as a tool for routine control of integrity. For example, a group of experts specialising mostly in non-instrumental methods of deception detection (including A. Vrij) believe that thermal imaging will lead to an excessive number of false cases of detection of deception, because there are various sources of anxiety, and no significant changes between the truthful and lying individuals are observed before embarking on the interview (Warmelink *et al.* 2010). As far as an infrared camera can be taken considered in mass security checks, for example, in airports, this makes sense only during an interview and not remotely, and even more so without making the subject aware. Moreover, in the experiments they conducted, people running interviews who did not account for the recording

from infrared cameras formed more accurate opinions. That is why researchers suggest that it is better to invest funds into the enhancement of interviewing methods rather than into upgrading thermal imaging equipment.

Conclusions

It seems to go without saying that the method for thermal registration of facial temperature changes as a correlate of emotions is interesting for psychology, psychophysiology, and neuropsychology (Milan *et al.* 2012). Moreover it becomes highly promising in the detection of deception and hidden information (Jain *et al.* 2012).

As the experiments conducted so far show, the best results are obtained when the method is combined with classical polygraph examinations (Pollina *et al.* 2004; Kim *et al.* 2014). At the current stage of research, this is however not yet a solution that could be used efficiently as an independent method for detecting deception. Besides minor obstacles of technical nature, which can be eliminated gradually in the successive experimental studies, application of this method for the detection of deception has as yet not been possible in practice, especially on a mass scale, without the awareness and cooperation on behalf of the subject. Secondly, an examination of facial temperature changes as an independent method of deception detection would be based on the assessment of only a single physiological coordinate of emotions (moreover: being secondary to the changes in the circulatory system and muscle tension), while a classical polygraph examination is based on a simultaneous assessment of at least three such correlates.

The future of this method of lie detection may be perceived in a) treating it as supplementation of a classical polygraph examination providing another emotion coefficient subjected to assessment (facial temperature changes – mostly in periorbital region); b) using it in conjunction with other methods that allow contact-free (i.e. remote) observation of at least two other physiological indicators (emotion correlates) – e.g. changes in the voice, eyeball movements, etc. This, however, is a task by far more difficult.

References

Bauer J., Dereń E. (2014), *Standaryzacja badań termograficznych w medycynie i fizykoterapii*, Acta Bio-Optica et Informatica Medica Inżynieria Biomedyczna, Vol. 20, No. 1, p. 12.

- Eysenck H.J. (1971), *Sens i nonsens w psychologii*, Warszawa, pp. 87–88.
- Hilgard E.R. (1972), *Wprowadzenie do psychologii*, Warszawa, pp. 243–245.
- Jain U., Tan B., Li Q. (2012), *Concealed Knowledge Identification using facial thermal imaging*, Li Creative Technologies, Florham Park, NJ, USA.
- Kim K., Lee J-H. (2014), *Detecting deception using integrated thermal imaging and polygraph*, International Journal of Psychophysiology, Vol. 94.
- Kołecki H. (1979), *Kryminalistyczne zastosowania termografii*, Warszawa.
- Levine J., Pavlidis I, Cooper M. (2001), *The face of fear*, The Lancet, Vol. 357, No. 9270.
- Memarian N., Venetsanopoulos A.N., Chau T. (2009), *Infrared thermography as an access pathway for individuals with severe motor impairments* [online], Journal of Neuroengineering and Rehabilitation, Vol. 6, No. 11, [accessed on: 27.03.2015], www.jneuroengrehab.com/content/pdf/1743-0003-6-11.pdf.
- Park K.K., Won Suk H., Hwang H., Lee J-H. (2013), *A functional analysis of deception detection of a mock crime using infrared thermal imaging and the Concealed Information Test*, Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, Vol. 7.
- Pavlidis I., Eberhardt N.L., Levine J.A. (2002), *Seeing through the face of deception*, Nature, Vol. 415, p. 35.
- Pavlidis I, Levine J.A. (2001), *Monitoring of periorbital blood flow rate through thermal image analysis and its application to polygraph testing* [online], Proceedings of the 23rd Annual EMBS International Conference, 25–28.10.2001, Istanbul, Turkey, [accessed on: 03.04.2015], www.cpl.uh.edu/publication_files/C17.pdf.
- Pavlidis I, Levine J. (2002a), *Thermal Facial Screening for Deception Detection*, Proceedings of the Second Joint EMBS/BMES Conference, Houston, TX, USA, 23–26.12.2002.
- Pavlidis I, Levine J. (2002b), *Thermal Image Analysis for Polygraph Testing*, IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology, Vol. 21, No. 6, pp. 56–64.
- Pavlidis I., Levine J.A., Baukol P. (2000), *Thermal imaging for anxiety detection*, Proceedings 2000 IEEE Workshop on Computer Vision Beyond the Visible Spectrum: Methods and Applications, Hilton Head Island, SC, 16.06.2000, pp. 104–109.
- Polakowski H., Kastek M., Pilski J. (2011), *Analysis of facial skin temperature changes in acquaintance Comparison Question Test*, European Polygraph, Vol. 5, No. 3–4 (17–18), pp. 107–121.

Pollina D.A., Ryan A.H. (2004), *The relationship between facial skin surface temperature reactivity and traditional polygraph measures used in the psychophysiological detection of deception: a preliminary investigation*, Polygraph, Vol. 33, No. 3.

Pollina D.A., Dollins A.B., Senter S.M., Brown T.E., Pavlidis I., Levine J.A., Ryan A.H. (2006), *Facial Skin Surface Temperature Changes During a “Concealed Information” Test*, Annals of Biomedical Engineering, Vol. 34, No. 7, pp. 1182–1189.

Rajoub B.A., Zwiggelarr R. (2014), *Thermal Facial Analysis for Deception Detection*, IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security, Vol. 9, No. 6, p. 1015–1023.

Salazar Lopez E., Dominguez E., de la Fuente J.M., Meins A., Iborra O., Rodriguez-Artacho M.A., Gomez Milan E. (2012), *The mental nose: thermography and the Pinocchio effect*, University of Granada, Spain.

Shastri D., Merla A., Tsiamyrtzis P., Pavlidis I. (2009), *Imaging Facial Signs of Neurophysiological Responses*, IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, Vol. 56, No. 2.

Shastri D., Papadakis M., Tsiamyrtzis P., Bass B., Pavlidis I. (2012), *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, Vol. 3, No. 3.

Shastri D., Tsiamyrtzis P., Pavlidis I. (2008), *Periorbital Thermal Signal Extraction and Applications*, 30th Annual International IEEE EMBS Conference, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, 22–24.08.2008.

Staszal R., Wojtarowicz M., Zajac P. (2013), *Praktyczne możliwości zastosowania kamery termowizyjnej*, Studia Prawnicze. Rozprawy i Materiały, 2 (13), pp. 109–120.

Trovillio P.V. (1938/1939), *A history of lie-detection*, The Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology, Vol. 29, No 6, p. 849.

Tsiamyrtzis P., Dowdall J., Shastri D., Pavlidis I., Frank M.G., Ekman P. (2007), *Imaging facial physiology for the detection of deceit*, International Journal of Computer Vision, Vol. 71, pp. 197–214.

Zhu Z., Tsiamyrtzis P., Pavlidis I. (2007), *Forehead Thermal Signature Extraction in Lie Detection*, Proceedings of the 29th Annual International Conference of the IEEE EMBS Cité Internationale, Lyon, France, 23–26.08.2007.



Ryszard Jaworski*
University of Wrocław
Wrocław
Poland

Observations from the Analysis of Searching Peak of Tension Test (SPOT) Charts

Key Words: Peak of Tension Test (POT), Searching Peak of Tension (SPOT)

Introduction

A technique applied in polygraph examinations is a variant of the CIT test, known as the Peak of Tension Type B (7), Searching POT Test (2,3), Probing Peak of Tension Test (18), and Keeler POT Type B (2). J.A. Matte describes the application of the technique in the following way:

‘Another type of Peak of Tension Test available to the forensic psycho-physiologist is known as the Probing Peak of Tension Test. This test is used to identify key information not known to the investigator or the forensic psychophysiology. It may be used to locate accomplices, determine extent of involvement, locate weapons, loot,

* rjaworsk@prawo.uni.wroc.pl

evidence, determine amounts of money stolen, and methods of entry. (...) Probing POT tests should be prepared prior to the scheduled examination with a view towards determining those facts deemed most important to the investigator in solving their case' (18). A similar comment is found in N. Ansley: 'The Searching Peak of Tension tests were to be used to locate evidence or identify accomplices' (2).

D. Lykken writes the following on the subject of the test's legal significance: 'The Searching Peak of Tension test is its own justification when it leads to the discovery of useful physical evidence or elicits a valid confession. The mere occurrence of consistent responding to some item in the series, by itself, is hopelessly ambiguous and provides no legitimate basis for any conclusions about the veracity of the subject' (17).

The National Research Council's (NRC) report on the test includes a similar claim: 'It is also possible to use the peak-of-tension test in a searching mode when the examiner does not know which answer is connected to the event but wants to use the test for help in an investigation. It is assumed that the pattern of a guilty person's autonomic responses will reveal the correct answer' (20). All authors agree about the significance of the tests: they are auxiliary, supplementary tests, which should be applied following CQT tests if the subject reacts to relevant questions included in these tests. Also the APA (American Polygraph Association) classification from 2011 considers SPOT test as auxiliary (screening) tests, lacking evidential value (6).

Despite such an assessment of their evidential value, no one calls for abandoning the use of the tests. Literature argues that the result of a polygraph examination should not be assessed solely as incriminating evidence used to convict a criminal. It may facilitate an investigation, which – as confirmed by the NRC assessment quoted above – is particularly relevant for SPOT tests. In Polish literature, this is emphasised by J. Widacki, who argues that SPOT tests may be helpful at the preliminary stages of an investigation, as they enable discovering material evidence or accomplices. At further stages the evidence becomes the grounds for establishing facts in its own right (28).

Use of SPOT test is necessary in case of the evidence which Matte mentions in reference to organised crime. The system of justice will benefit even if evidence is acquired only in some cases within this category. Other important reasons to use SPOT tests include (e.g. in Japan) cases of missing people. Makoto Nakayama refers to them when he writes that 'when a person disappears suddenly and motives of suicide or escape have been ruled out, police investigators must consider homicide as an option. Detectives investigate the existence of motives and suspects. Though it is difficult to compose CIT sequences in the circumstances, SPOT-containing questions concerning the date, place, method, manner of murder, and disposal of the corpse may be

utilised. However, we cannot be certain that the sequences contain correct items of critical information, because they are unknown, except to the culprit' (19).

In cases of homicide and disappearance of a person it is advisable to complement the CQT technique with other tests for an additional reason, as the results may be less accurate than in cases of theft or robbery. We should take into account the difficulty in preparing control questions emotionally equivalent to relevant questions. Some questions (*Did you kill...?*) may cause excessive emotional response in the subjects (as noticed by Reid and Backster), which would result in considerable changes in physiological parameters (23). The doubts are particularly valid when the subject is a relative of the missing person. The technique which in such cases may increase the accuracy of a polygraph examination is the SPOT. Here, questions on disposing of the body may be concealed in the wording of questions that concern for example an object that the missing person had on them or an item of their clothing.

J.A. Matte wrote: 'POT and the Probing (Searching) Peak of Tension Tests (...) have been widely used by field forensic psychophysicists since the 1930s' (18). This is confirmed in press reports: the SPOT technique was used long ago; in 1929, L. Keller used several tests of the type (including one with a map) in the case of murder of J.E. Bassett (16). Despite widespread use of the SPOT for over 80 years in various countries, the number of publications devoted to it (in comparison with the number of articles on other techniques) is small if not insignificant. There are reports on individual cases, while field study analysis and descriptions of experimental research are lacking.

Effects of SPOT application presented in relevant literature

From the cases of SPOT application reported in the literature we might conclude that SPOT advantage consists in discovering objects connected with the investigated event. Spectacular effects of the use of SPOT tests in detecting and proving homicide in cases initially qualified as concerning missing persons were discussed by Owen M. Wilkerson (29). One of these cases dealt with a subject suspected of abducting two women in 1977. The man denied the charges and the allegations that he had any knowledge of the incident. The suspect and his attorney agreed to a polygraph examination concerning the location of the missing women, and making use of a 'search peak of tension' technique. It was agreed that the examiner would ask only about geographic locations and the suspect would answer 'no'. A few SPOT tests were used during the examination, including a test with a map. Each test was repeated. Names of a handful of counties were mentioned in the first test. The subject reacted to one of them and in the subsequent tests he reacted to one of the sectors of the map of

the county shown to him. The area was searched and the bodies of the women were found. The suspect was sentenced to death (29). The case is quoted by N. Ansley, who also describes another one (2). Two examples are quoted by Matte; one is concerned with money stolen in an office, while the other with searching for unknown accomplices (18). S. Abrams describes examination of a man whose wife went missing. It actually had killed her, and the body was found at a location he reacted to in the searching peak of tension test (1). None of the quoted examples was illustrated by test charts.

Several examples of test application in practice were provided by Lithuanian authors, V. Saldziunas and A. Kovalenko:

- disappearance of a person: the suspect confessed to a murder and showed the place where the body was hidden (24)
- successful use of the test with a map (sectors) in the case of a stolen car (25).

The authors quote examples and SPOT charts (with interpretation) from their own practice (26).

The number of publications concerning experiments using SPOT is also low. A relatively highest number has been published in Japanese, for which reason they are not easily available, but the effects obtained are significant in the context of other authors' views on the technique, and are discussed further in the article.

In the mid-1970s, M. Dufek and J. Widacki with his Polish team tested the accuracy of the test in terms of determining the place where a subject hid an object (5). Thirty men participated in the experiment, each of whom had 9 options to hide an object. If the subject successfully 'cheated' the expert, he was allowed to keep the object. The test was conducted five times (also in the SAT version, Yes-Test). Using polygraph charts, the expert correctly indicated the place where the object was hidden in the case of 22 men (73.3%), in the case of further 7, the expert indicated it together with another place and only one man did not display physiological reactions to the place where the object was actually hidden. The authors argue that in real life cases the accuracy of the test would even have been greater, as emotional activation of the subjects in such cases is greater than during an experiment.

The discrepancy between a small number of publications concerning the technique and a long period of its application raises many questions. The most important one concerns the actual frequency of the use of the technique: how often has the use of SPOT chart analysis resulted in finding an object (or a body) in the place to which the subject reacted? If this hardly ever happens, are SPOT tests useful for investigation in any other manner?

Other, more detailed questions also emerge; are results of a Comparison Questions Test, which, as has been mentioned above, provide the grounds for the decision to use the SPOT, always accurate? Obviously, accuracy of some versions of CQT tests is very high, but an error to the disadvantage of an innocent person in CQT tests cannot be ruled out (excessive reactions to relevant questions may occur, which is probable when the missing person's relatives are examined) as well as an error to the advantage of the actual perpetrator (if the perpetrator is examined a long time after the event had taken place or if he or she is a mentally resistant sociopath). Should the SPOT be carried out despite lack of reaction to relevant questions in the CQT test? Can we expect a contradiction between the conclusions resulting from the analyses of the CQT and SPOT?

General remarks concerning the author's own practice

I have used the tests relatively rarely, mainly in homicide cases. I first carried out Control Questions Test in Reid's version (23), followed by two SPOT tracings, and having asked all the questions in the second tracing, I repeated the options that caused the strongest reactions. Sometimes, when tracings of two parameters changed considerably after one of the questions, I regarded it sufficient and resigned from repeating the SPOT test. It was a mistake, as the review of the cases proves that I failed to appreciate another advantage, namely a greater probability of confession of the perpetrator resulting from the repeated administration of the test.

I used Lafayette equipment: model 76058 and model 761 – 96 S*C. The analysis of the charts was carried out visually, resulting in a holistic assessment.

A statistical analysis of these cases did not make much sense due to major differences between them: the time between the event and polygraph examination (from several weeks to four years), and legal and psychological circumstances of the subjects (some were arrested, other had the status of a witness).¹ Therefore, I will use the case study method, which prevents categorical conclusions. My remarks should be treated as hypotheses; some – concerning test structure, formulation of questions and diagnostic significance of the SPOT test as compared with the CQT test – may be attractive for other experts and may become an incentive for sharing their observations.

¹ Most frequently this was caused by the fact that much time passed between the event and polygraph examination. The delay was caused by objective (related to the event and the person) and subjective factors, i.e. inappropriate use of polygraph expertise in Poland by the police and prosecution (polygraph examination is sometimes commissioned several months after the suspect is arrested).

Only in one case was the object found in the place which the subject reacted to in a SPOT test. This indirectly explains the low number of publications confirming the basic effect of the use of the technique. However, it would be a mistake to conclude that it is practically useless. SPOT tests contribute another, considerable advantage to the investigative practice, i.e. a confession of the suspect. This is decisively the main advantage, and this is what the legal significance of the SPOT test hinges on. The investigators also found that SPOT test results were very useful when co-perpetrators or accomplices were concerned. In my practice I have experienced frequent cases when the subjects confessed, revealed the place where they hid or disposed of the objects, yet they were not found there. A question arises therefore: what decision is a police officer or a prosecutor supposed to make if the subject displays a reaction to the name of a place, but the object is not found there? The answer is even more urgent if the suspect revokes the confession before the court. This is not a purely rhetoric question, as such cases have occurred in my practice; there have even been cases when the subjects confessed, indicated the place where they had disposed of the object, but the objects were not found.

In a dozen of cases I performed SPOT tests despite absence of reaction in the subjects to relevant questions in the Control Questions Test (serious circumstantial evidence incriminated the subjects, while the examination was carried out more than a year after the event). These people did not display any reactions to any SPOT test questions, while their emotional activation diminished. This reinforced the conclusion resulting from the analysis of CQT tracings, which was important due to serious circumstantial evidence incriminating the subjects in question. I ruled out their participation in the investigated events, which was later confirmed by other evidence. Thus, in effect they were SPOT tracings of innocent people, while the literature remains silent as to the use of these tests in this category of subjects. The tracings may be useful in determining the degree to which the problem formulated in SPOT test questions activates emotionally the innocent people and perpetrators.

There have been isolated cases where the opinion (diagnosis?) based on their CQT charts would have been false; in one the subject's perpetration was to be ruled out (in his case reactions to control questions predominated) yet he was the instigator of a murder. Decisive for the accurate diagnosis was the modification of the CQT technique (extra relevant question no. 11), additional R-I test and precisely the SPOT test (the chart will be discussed in the following publication). In another case, a man who was a witness and probably assisted in hiding the body was diagnosed as the murderer, as there were considerable reactions to relevant questions in three CQT charts (13).

I made other mistakes in the use of the technique; they are worth mentioning because they illustrate problems involved in its application, and indirectly indicate the reasons for cautious approach in assessing its evidential value. Their discussion will allow other experts to avoid similar mistakes.

Recommendations concerning structure and application of SPOT tests

It seems necessary to summarise the views on the application of the SPOT technique, as they coincide in some respects and are divergent in others. The authors writing about this test agree about one basic issue – it should be used after CQT as supplementary testing. They do not differ on such issues as placing the most probable options in the middle of the test and repeating the test (even three times). They also agree on the principles of tracing analysis – it should be assessed which question causes repeatable changes in at least two charts (18). The APA recommends that ‘if the examinee displayed physiological responses at the same question on at least two of the tree POT or SPOT charts collected, the examiner must conclude that there were significant responses’ (6). According to the same recommendations, the numerical analysis of the charts is not carried out. Harrelson recommends to ‘watch the Galvo tracing. It can be the most important indicator in this type of the test’ (7).

Harrelson’s observations concerning the significance of the galvo tracing are confirmed in research by the Japanese authors (1976) looking for objective methods of chart analysis. They decided that the visual method was too subjective (22) having analysed the charts of 40 people examined in authentic cases (theft, arson, robbery). Breathing, GSR, and pulse were recorded. Three charts from the stimulation test carried out in each case were selected for the analysis. The stimulation test had the subject select one of six two-digit numbers (from 20 to 70) and write it down. When the expert, who did not know the number, asked about it, the subject gave a negative answer. The test was carried out three times and the sequence of the questions was changed. The authors of the article analysed the tracings of individual parameters, trying to determine the usefulness of each of them for guessing the number selected by the subject. For this purpose they ranked the questions in terms of extent of reactions seen in these parameters. On the basis of the breathing analysis, the selected number was successfully determined in 46% cases, while the analysis of the galvo tracing resulted in 72% success rate. The pulse rate of most subjects exceeded 100 cycles per minute.² It is interesting that the pulse rate was much higher than the

² All the subjects were perpetrators, the group of the subjects did not include the ‘innocent’; therefore, the expert did not compare the tracings of the ‘perpetrators’ with those of the ‘innocent’.

rest rate, but the assessment of this parameter would require a comparison with the charts of the 'not guilty' subjects, while here everyone was a perpetrator.

There are considerable differences between Harrelson's recommendations from 1964 and what more contemporary authors recommend. In his publication, Harrelson adopted the following assumptions for this test: 'Where the crucial possibility is included in a list, the creation of padding and the running of the test twice without stopping makes it possible to build the subject to a psychological peak at, or just prior to, the crucial question and afford relief from this peak at, or after, the crucial question, providing the subject knows the exact sequence in which the questions are going to be asked, since he must know where he will have to lie or make an admission against interest' (7). Therefore, the content of the questions and their sequence should be discussed with the subject and when rerunning the test, their sequence should not be changed: 'Never alter the consecutive order of questions. Subject must know and anticipate the position of the crucial question' (7). Harrelson also highlights that: 'questions are never vague or indefinite' (7).

Ambiguity or overlapping of the question content may cause a subject's reaction to more than one question, which will result in a diagnostic problem. An example of such an error can be found in the two SPOT tests I carried out with a subject suspected of a rape and murder of a young woman in summer 1978. While, after the examination, the man confessed and was subsequently convicted, his reactions in the test were ambiguous, precisely because of wrong assumptions adopted when constructing the two tests and the inappropriate wording of the questions.³

The event was initially treated as the case of a person gone missing. The body was found three weeks after the murder in a ditch filled with water, covered with a bundle of dry maize. The cause of death was difficult to determine: the victim had sustained injuries to her head, but she might as well have died after her body had been thrown into the water. The body was naked and no clothing was found in the vicinity. There was also no jewellery, while the victim's friends testified that she had always worn five rings, earrings, and two pendants. The subject was examined two days after the body was found. He denied the charges of murder, there were no witnesses, no traces, and no material evidence. Thus a polygraph examination potentially had a great significance. Apart from a CQT test, I also carried out two SPOT tests: one concerned the manner of hiding or destroying the clothes, while the other – the manner of hiding the jewellery. If the suspect was the murderer, he should know the place where the objects had been hidden, he should react to its name and the search of the place would have resulted in finding the clothes and/or jewellery. The results

³ Provincial Court in Wrocław III K 117/79.

of those tests could contribute to obtaining material evidence. Each SPOT test was carried out twice.

The first SPOT concerned the place where the clothes had been hidden or how they had been destroyed. Hiding or destroying them was not difficult, because the crime was committed in summer and victim did not wear much (a dress, panties, and a bra).

The test questions were as follows:

1. Did you bury the woman's clothes?
2. Did you incinerate the woman's clothes?
3. Did you hide the woman's clothes inside a building?
4. Did you hide the woman's clothes in the fields?
5. Did you throw the woman's clothes into a river or a septic tank?
6. Did you hide the woman's clothes in another way?

When the test was administered for the first time, the relatively greatest changes were caused by question 5, therefore, when the test was conducted for the second time, it was repeated four times.




Fig. 1. The second chart of the SPOT test concerning the possible way of hiding or destroying the clothes. The subject's breathing was irregular, of varying depth, pulse rate: 90, diastolic pressure was very high (small amplitude), and considerable changes in the galvo tracing. Breath tracing may be concluded as resulting from great general activation or an attempt at distorting the tracing. Shallow breathing could also cause an increase of diastolic pressure and changes in the galvo tracing. Relatively greatest changes are caused by question 5 (throwing the object into a river or a septic tank), but other question are also followed by changes.

The SPOT test concerning the way of disposing of the jewellery included the following questions:

1. Did you sell the woman's jewellery?
2. Did you bury the woman's jewellery?
3. Did you give the woman's jewellery to someone to keep?
4. Did you throw away the woman's jewellery?
5. Did you hide the woman's jewellery in another place?

There were no unambiguous reactions to any of test question in the first chart.



Fig. 2. The second chart of the test concerning possible ways of disposing of the victim's jewellery. Pulse rate: 90. Physiological parameters indicate a considerable degree of activation but lower than in the previous test. The reactions are ambiguous; no particular question causing the strongest emotions can be indicated.

The subject confessed his guilt to a police officer two months after the examination. He said that he had scattered the woman's clothes in the fields. He indicated the places during the visit to the scene of the crime, but the clothes were not found. However, it is not certain whether he told the truth about what he had done with the clothes. In the first test chart, there was no reaction to question 4 concerning just this possibility (hiding in the fields), while there were changes following other questions, and especially question 5, therefore it was repeated four times in the second chart. When the test was repeated, question 4 was treated as a padding question. Despite confessing to the rape and murder, the perpetrator did not want to disclose what he had done with the jewellery. Comparison of both test charts reveals that the issue of hiding the clothes activated the subject to a considerably greater degree than the issue of the jewellery (if it is assumed that he did not distort the SPOT tracings concerning the clothes by shallowing his breath).

It is obvious that the structure of the tests (hiding the clothes, dealing with the jewellery) was wrong, because it was assumed that the subject had dealt with all the objects from each group (clothes, jewellery) in the same way, while he could have sold one ring, given another away as a gift, and hidden the rest somewhere. He could have dealt with the clothes in a similar way, even though this is not very probable due to their insignificant value. It cannot be ruled out that he told the truth talking about the clothes, as they may have been destroyed by the machines working in the fields: there was a gap of three months between the murder and the confession. The test concerning the jewellery should have focused on each item separately. The other test should have concentrated on the dress only, not referring to the collective notion of 'clothes'. The latter would have been justified if the test had aimed at the assessment of the general level of the subject's emotional activation and not at finding objects.

Lithuanian authors highlight the difficulties in separating the question content in a SPOT test (27).

The greatest discrepancy in literature concerns the number and sequence of questions and the change of the sequence when the test is repeated. According to Harrelson (7) and similarly to Nakayama (19), a test should include 5 items, while Ansley recommends 7, and Matter – 9. The American Polygraph Association recommends yet another solution, which is discussed below (6).

As I have mentioned above, everyone recommends that the most probable items are placed in the middle of the test. Ansley's view is representative in this matter: 'The most probable item should be in the middle of the list during the first of three presentations. The last probable item should be at the beginning of the list during the first of three presentations' (3). J.A. Matte puts forward a similar recommendation: 'The choices are listed in the order of least likely, most likely, least likely, finally followed by an all inconclusive question such as "any other place I haven't mentioned?"' (18).

The certain doubts that arise here probably constitute one of the reasons why the evidential value of SPOT tests is assessed so cautiously: an innocent person may assess the item considered the most probable by the expert in the manner expected of the perpetrator. This person may have general knowledge about the event being tested and his or her own conjectures as to the motive, perpetrator, and his or her way of operating. The subject's hypothesis may coincide with that of the investigator, while mental processes connected with the hypothesis may cause an emotional reaction to a particular item. An opposite situation may arise: the actual perpetrator may distort the tracing in a SPOT test, thus hindering the identification of the appropriate item. A diagnostic problem arises: what should the expert's conclusion be? In my opinion,

the solution lies in the assessment of the degree of emotional inactivation caused by the problem formulated in the test, which proves that the subject conceals a detail of the event, and not in the assessment whether a reaction to one item may be seen.

Apart from Harrelson, the remaining authors recommend that the order of questions is changed while repeating the test. N. Ansley writes 'The order should be varied with each presentation. The order of items may be announced or posted. The items in the list should be discussed in detail. When maps or diagrams are used, they must have clearly marked boundaries, numbers, letters, and names for each area' (3). According to APA recommendations, the third test should be carried out with the reverse order of questions (6). Harrelson did not recommend the use of a question about 'other options', because the perpetrator will realise how little the investigators know, which will decrease his or her fear of exposure and thus the reactions during the test. Among contemporary authors only Nakayama is against such a question, but for a reason different than Harrelson: 'Some examiners include a catchall question, such as "another place than mentioned" at the end of the sequence. This is very different from the other questions and the reaction to the question is not meaningful' (19). The remaining authors recommend its use. J.A. Matte: 'The choices are (...) finally followed by an all-inconclusive question such as "any other place I haven't mentioned?"' (18), and N. Ansley: 'Use a question about other possibilities as the last item on each chart' (3).

In his recommendations concerning the constant order of questions and avoiding the question about 'other possibilities' L. Harrelson adopts an assumption that the investigator and expert are not mistaken, i.e. that their knowledge on the most probable item is real. Seemingly, the assumption is correct, but if this were the case, why conduct the test? Would it not be better to search the two places if the body or objects were hidden there? In practice, it is not the case; the investigator and polygrapher may only formulate hypotheses, not being aware of the actual manner of operation (or a place), which is substantiated by the following case:

In 1995, two drunks were battered to death (13). According to the profiler, there must have been two attackers, because two men would have been able to defend themselves against one. The skin of the victims' faces was cut and skull bones were broken, which proved that the perpetrators had used an object with sharp edges. No such object was found at the scene of the crime and in its vicinity, which prompted the conclusion that the perpetrators had taken it away with them. After eight months, the police received information that the battery might have been committed by a small group of very young men. All agreed to a polygraph examination. The examination, carried out in the CQT technique, ruled out their participation, except for one of them. I examined him, using the SPOT test, to determine what the perpetrator used to hit the victims. The test named various objects that could have been used.

Test questions were:

1. Did the perpetrator hit with a crowbar?
2. Did the perpetrator hit with a stool?
3. Did the perpetrator hit with a tyre lever?
4. Did the perpetrator hit with a stick?
5. Did the perpetrator hit with a poker?
6. Did the perpetrator hit with a thick cable?
7. Did the perpetrator hit with another wooden object?
8. Did the perpetrator hit with another metal object?
9. Did the perpetrator hit with another object?

In the test, the subject reacted to question 9 (another object). He refused to have the test repeated and terminated the examination. After the examination, he confessed to a police officer and said that he had had no accomplice. He stated that he had been first attacked by the two men, but because they had been drunk, he had easily defended himself, hitting them with his fists. When they fell down, he kicked them on the heads (he had big, heavy boots, whose soles had metal fittings on the edges).

This example proves that the question about 'other possibilities' is indispensable, because it is very hard to take all possible manners of the perpetrator's behaviour into consideration. It seemed that the test questions covered all possible objects, yet they did not include kicking, as sole edges hardly ever have sharp edges.

The SPOT test questions are worded on the basis of the traces, and professional and life experiences of the investigator and the expert. Practice proves that the actual manner of perpetrator's operation may be missed out and sometimes it is difficult to meet Ansley's requirement that: 'In constructing a Searching Peak of Tension test, sometimes called a SPOT test, the examiner was to cover all possibilities, and padding questions which were outside the realm of possibility were to be at the beginning and end of the list, with two at the end if possible' (2).

A risk of committing such a mistake is present when trying to determine an unknown accomplice, which is substantiated by the case of a murder committed by a police officer in 1994 (10). Some evidence unambiguously indicated the perpetrator, who however did not confess, and did not agree to a polygraph examination. However, it was necessary to determine who had helped the murderer to place the body in a car and take it away. A few people, including the officer's colleagues, were subjected to the polygraph examination. A CQT test, Situational Sequencing Test (STS), and a SPOT test with the names of more than ten acquaintances of the perpetrator and the subject's name were performed. The CQT and STS charts ruled out personal participation of these people in transporting the body, while in the SPOT test they reacted only to their own names. The last but one of the subjects stated after the SPOT

test that the murderer's wife was the accomplice. The investigator did not take her participation into consideration, because the victim weighed almost 100 kg, and the perpetrator was drunk. The accomplice had to be strong, while the wife was only 160 cm tall and weighed 50 kg. The test was supplemented with the woman's name and the last potential accomplice displayed a clear reaction to it, while being examined, and showed no reaction to his own name. Test charts are presented elsewhere (10).

The APA seems to propose an optimal solution concerning the order and content of questions (6). An example of the test:

'Regarding the location of that property is located in:
 Padding question Geneva?
 Padding question London?
 Key choice area A?
 Key choice area B?
 Key choice area C?
 Key choice area D?
 Coverall an area not mentioned?
 Padding question Frankfurt?
 Padding question Milan?'

In this version of the test, the question about 'other possibilities' is treated as an essential alternative, while placing two padding questions at the end solves the problem when the test is repeated with a changed order of questions. The number of 5 items seems minimal, especially when accomplices are concerned. I believe that there may be more questions, it is more crucial that they cover all possibilities. The test should be repeated three times, featuring the reverse order of questions in the last instance. All questions are worded to elicit a 'no' as the answer. While all the authors agree that the subject should answer the test questions, experiments carried out in Japan (H. Okhawa) proved that in this test subjects displayed reactions also when it was conducted in the SAT version.

Histasugi Okhawa discusses experimental research of 40 people carried out in 1963 (21). Each person took one of four objects in secret from the expert. The expert's task was to discover which object had been taken away, for which purpose he carried out the same Test POT-B several times. The subject gave answers in the negative (the answer to one of the questions had to be false). The test was conducted twice and then the same test was repeated twice but the subject remained silent, not answering the questions. On the basis of the tracings, the expert decided which object had been taken by the subject. Subsequently, the third test was carried out, when the subject gave truthful answers. When the subjects gave negative answers to all the questions, in 90.2% of the subjects the tracings displayed changes after one of the questions,

which proved that it was the critical question. In the 'silent answers' version such changes to one question were displayed by 87.5% of the subjects, thus the difference is slight.⁴ The author of the article does not reveal what parameters he recorded. The subjects did not include 'innocent' people, therefore the expert was unable to compare the tracings of the 'perpetrators' with those of the 'innocents'. The examination in question proves that it is the question's content and not the negative answer, i.e. the subject's lie, that causes an emotional reaction.

Legal significance of SPOT tests in practice

As I have mentioned above, only in one case in my experience has the wanted object been found in the place the subject reacted to.

It was a case of a murder of a woman in 1982 (13). The subject was a man who presumed to have committed the crime in his flat (while his wife and son were absent) and have hidden somewhere the duvet with traces of victim's blood (his wife claimed that one of the duvets was missing). His status was that of a witness, and the examination was carried out six months after the murder had been committed. His flat had previously been searched twice in vain, therefore he did not have to fear that the object would be found. He reacted symptomatically to a relevant question in the CQT test and in the SPOT test the displayed a distinct reaction in blood pressure and galvo tracings to question 4.




Fig. 3. The chart of the SPOT test: reactions are present in all the parameters until question 4 and then they return to normal, which proves that the subject's emotions decrease.

⁴ There is no information on the degree of general activation of the subjects; it cannot have been high, because the expert examined his colleagues. It is interesting that 10 % of the subjects did not display any significant changes.

After the polygraph examination, the subject confessed and showed the place where the woman's clothes had been hidden.⁵ It was a place whose name he had reacted to in the test.

There have been more cases when the subject reacted to one of the options named in the SPOT test, but the objects were not found in the place indicated, mainly because considerable amount of time had passed between the examination and the polygraph examination (the objects may have disintegrated naturally or may have been taken away by strangers)

This type of situation is illustrated by an examination of a subject suspected of murdering a man in 1978.⁶ A broken knife blade was found in the victim's body, and the handle was missing. Several witnesses had seen such a knife in the possession of the suspect shortly before the event, but he denied it. In the CQT, the subject displayed considerable and repeatable physiological changes after relevant questions. The SPOT focused on what had happened to the knife's handle. According to police officers, the most probable option was that it had been thrown into a sewer (question 6). If this had proven true, the sewers would have been searched. The test provided for seven options, and additionally included a question from the CQT test (no. 8): Did you have the knife on the day of the murder?




Fig. 4. SPOT chart concerning the manner of disposing of the knife's handle. The suspect did not display the expected reaction to question 6. The greatest changes (galvo and breathing tracings) were caused by question 3 – thrown into a dustbin.

⁵ The perpetrator died in 1986 because of a brain tumour, three and a half years after polygraph examination. If the polygraph examination had used magnetic resonance or brain wave analyser, the instruments would have been alleged to have caused the brain cancer.

⁶ District Court, Wrocław Śródmieście III K 633/78.

A few weeks after the polygraph examination, the subject confessed. He explained that he had thrown the handle into a dustbin. No one looked for it there, because a few weeks had passed since the murder and in the meantime the dustbins had been emptied several times. The court sentenced the accused but recognised that he had acted in self-defence. It is worth noting that absence of a reaction to question 6 (thrown into the sewer) was important for the investigation, because the idea to search the sewers was abandoned and there were more than a dozen of them in the vicinity of the crime scene.

My practical experience suggests marginal significance of the SPOT tests, highlighted in the literature as all-important, because they lead to finding an object in the place which the subject repeatedly reacted to. If this was the only effect of application of the technique, it would have to be considered useless. Yet, such a conclusion would be wrong as my experience also proves that it frequently offers another advantage, mentioned by Lykken: most subjects confess after the test. It is important to note that a confession was relatively more frequent than in the cases when only the CQT or a combination of CQT and POT tests were used.

The Japanese authors report a great impact of the SPOT tests on the confession of the subjects. In 1963–64 Hikita and Suzuki examined 116 convicts, who had earlier confessed to such crimes as theft, blackmail, and rape (8). Hikita and Suzuki conducted polygraph examinations to find out whether there were any other offences that the subjects had not confessed to (i.e. whether they had not concealed them). The examination was carried out in the presence of police officers conducting investigations. If the subject had confessed to another offence in the presence of a police officer, his or her confession would have become evidence for the court.⁷ First, a CQT test was carried out: the subject was to listen to the questions and answer them truthfully. It was followed by a stimulation test with the use of playing cards. All this was followed by two CQT tests. If the CQT charts did not show any reactions on the part of the subject to the relevant questions, subsequent tests were abandoned. If the reaction, however, did occur, subsequent tests were conducted. The test included 15 questions about various crimes and offences (various types of theft, bodily harm, blackmail, rape, burglary, document forgery, arson). If there were no reactions to these questions, subsequent tests were abandoned. If there was a reaction, e.g. to the question about a theft, another test, consisting of 21 questions about various objects (a bicycle, car, money, ring) was conducted. The third test included 20 questions about a geographically determined place where the offence was committed.

⁷ It is highly doubtful whether this procedure could be applied in many countries nowadays, as it is deceitful and forcing self-accusation.

The fourth test (11 questions) concerned the crime scene, which this time was defined by a name (a shop, school, park, flat). The results were as follows:

- 1) reactions were displayed by 83 people, who confessed to committing another crime (71.55% of the subjects); 5 people displayed distinct reactions, but they did not confess
- 2) in the case of 28 people (24.14%), it was concluded that they had not concealed the fact of having committed a crime.

Hikita repeated this examination in 1965–67 on a group of 254 people. Reactions were displayed by 77.56% of the subjects, who either confessed or their crime was proven in another way (9).

It is significant that most of the accused revoked their confession in court, yet courts sentenced them anyway (considering the change of testimony as not credible; also quite frequently other evidence confirming the perpetration had been acquired).⁸ A serious diagnostic and legal problem arises here, resulting from the situation when the object is not found at the place which the subject reacted to, the charge has been filed in the meantime, and the accused (who is actually the perpetrator) revokes his or her confession and quotes the result of the SPOT test before the court as a proof of his or her innocence. The accused may even go further: if the object has not been found despite the occurrence of a physiological reaction to the name of the place, a conclusion must be inferred that the reactions are coincidental, which is also true for CQT charts. In such a situation, in the opinion of the defence counsel, the result of the CQT test should be dismissed as valid evidence.

Such arguments have not been raised yet, but neither the defendants nor the defence knew the literature on the polygraph. In the meantime, the knowledge of testing techniques has become quite widespread and if a defendant or a defence counsel become familiar with Lykken's view, such arguments might be raised. It would be sensible to consider a change of the paradigm of the diagnostic significance of test tracings. I believe that an expert's appraisal should primarily be concerned with the degree of the subject's emotional activation by the issues to which the SPOT test is devoted (taking into consideration its consecutive charts and with reference to the CQT test). SPOT charts should be one of the premises in the final appraisal of the result of polygraph examination in correlation with the reactions to relevant questions in the CQT test. Finding an object in a place to which a considerable and repeatable reaction occurred is an additional and not fundamental advantage of the application of the SPOT test.

⁸ There are substantial differences between evidential significance of confession of the accused in the US and Poland.

Emotional significance of SPOT tests

My practical experience proves that SPOT tests cause considerable emotions in the subjects who transpired to be perpetrators, while the degree of their general psychophysiological activation displayed an increasing tendency when SPOT tests were repeated. I estimate that it was even higher in SPOT than in CQT tests, which is substantiated by the following parameters; higher diastolic pressure, more irregular breathing, frequent changes in the galvo tracing.

This remark is substantiated by a case of a young man examined in the case of a murder of a 15-year-old girl in 2006.⁹ The examination was conducted a year after the event. The subject had a status of a witness. Apart from a CQT test, I used a SPOT test, which included 8 questions about possible ways of handling the girl's backpack. Even though she had it with her on the day of the murder, it was not found on the body or in the vicinity. The test began with padding questions, marked as A; B; C, etc.

Test questions were as follows:

1. Following the event, was the backpack buried?
2. Following the event, was the backpack incinerated?
3. Following the event, was the backpack thrown into water (river, lake)?
4. Following the event, was the backpack hidden in a building?
5. Following the event, was the backpack hidden under tree branches?
6. Following the event, was the backpack thrown into a shrubbery?
7. Following the event, was the backpack hidden in another way?
8. Following the event, was the backpack destroyed in another way?




Fig. 5. First SPOT chart concerning the way of handling the murdered girl's backpack.

⁹ Prosecutor Office in Częstochowa V Ds. 41/06.




Fig. 6. Second SPOT chart concerning the way of handling the murdered girl's backpack.

The subject's considerable activation by the issue examined in the test is substantiated by the following physiological parameters: considerably quickened and irregular breathing, very frequent, however slight, tilting of the GSR pen (oscillation). The activation was so considerable that it is difficult to determine which question exactly caused the greatest reactions. In the second tracing the disturbances of breathing and galvo tracings were greater than in the first one. For a person unconnected with the girl's death, the problem formulated in the test would be emotionally neutral or only slightly activating. After the examination, the subject confessed to having strangled the girl. He said that he had thrown the backpack away in a forest, several dozen metres away from the body (which corresponds to question 6 in the SPOT test). The backpack was not found there, even though the place had been searched earlier during an inspection at the site of crime. It is difficult to conclude whether the suspect told the truth. Since he confessed to the murder, he was unlikely to have lied about a minor issue. Most likely, the backpack was found and taken away by a stranger. The suspect was convicted of murder.

The great effect which SPOT tests have on a murderer's emotions is confirmed by the tracings of a young man examined in the case of the disappearance of an eight-year-old girl in 2001 (12). The examination was carried out 5 months after the event. The main suspect was the girl's father, but he was eventually excluded, because in his case reactions to control questions predominated in the CQT test. The other subject had a status of a witness. He displayed strong and repeatable reactions to relevant question 8 'Did you hide the body somewhere?' The reactions to question 5 'Did you kill the girl?' were considerably smaller. Such reactions were surprising, considering the

evidence acquired at that stage of the investigation. In this situation, I arranged for a SPOT test focused on the place and way of hiding the body. The test included the following 9 options:

1. Is the girl's body hidden in a residential building?
2. Is the girl's body hidden in a cowshed?
3. Is the girl's body hidden in a barn?
4. Is the girl's body hidden in a swamp?
5. Is the girl's body hidden in a meadow?
6. Is the girl's body hidden in the forest?
7. Is the girl's body hidden in another place?
- 1a. Is the girl's body hidden in your house?
- 1b. Is the girl's body hidden in the neighbours' house?




Fig. 7. SPOT test of the actual murderer of the girl. Galvanic skin resistance increases frequently and continuously, therefore the position of the GSR pen was corrected 7 times. Even though changes in galvo tracing make it difficult to determine the most relevant question, they are of the diagnostic value because they prove the existence and increase of general activation. This indicates that the examined person was activated with the issue set in the test. The changes in the blood pressure tracings do not change substantially. Breathing is shallower only following question no. 6.

At the beginning of the SPOT test, the man went pale and remained so till the end of the test. After the test he became silent, and refused to talk and answer the questions. His mood was completely different from that at the beginning of the examination. He only said that several days before the event, without his parents' knowledge, he

had been to the doctor, who diagnosed a serious heart disease (he was unable to give its precise name, but the description would suggest valvular incompetence or a defective development of a valve) and had told him that he would only live for a few more months. When asked why he had decided to see the doctor, he explained that he quickly tired and could not make any serious physical effort.

He, nevertheless, confessed to the murder several months later during another interrogation, and testified that he had kept the girl's body in a few places and later incinerated it, which would explain strong reactions to question 8 in the CQT test and in the SPOT test about what had happened to the body. Long hair was found in one of the places, while a DNA examination confirmed similarity of its traits with mother's DNA. In court, the perpetrator revoked his confession, but he was nonetheless convicted.

This subject's refusal to undergo another SPOT test has in my experience been a behaviour frequently adopted by the subjects who later transpired to be the perpetrators. This confirms the claim that these tests have a great emotional impact on this category of subjects.

Some perpetrators have displayed distinct reactions during SPOT tests even a few years after an object had been disposed of and retrieving it was no longer a realistic option. This is substantiated by the examination of a subject suspected of murdering a man with a shotgun in 1991, which was carried out in 1995 (11). The prosecutor charged two men, who were subsequently arrested. The suspects agreed for polygraph examination. The prosecutor assumed that the first suspect had shot the victim, put the body into the boot of a car, transported it to another location, and left it there. He had also hidden the victim's bicycle. The man denied the charges and claimed that he did not know the other suspect. I administered a CQT test, a Situational Sequencing Test ('witness' and 'perpetrator' versions) and a SPOT test concerning the way of hiding the victim's bicycle (the victim had come to the forest on a bicycle). The problem formulated in the test was: 'What did you do with the victim's bicycle?', while the possible options were:

- 1) hid inside a building
- 2) threw into the water
- 3) dismantled
- 4) sold
- 5) hid in the forest
- 6) buried in the forest
- 7) buried in the field
- 8) hid in another way.

Comparison of this tracing with the tracing of the same SPOT test carried out with the other suspect proves that the reaction of the former to one of the questions was not coincidental.

Some parameters in this test indicate the subject's considerable activation (fast pulse rate, shallow and irregular breathing). Yet diastolic blood pressure tracing is completely different from that of the main suspect. The first suspect's distinct reaction in the SPOT test to one possibility should prompt the prosecutor to reject his argumentation that the reaction was coincidental or that the emotions were caused by the syndrome of the 'unjustly suspected'.

The main suspect was sentenced to imprisonment for committing murder.¹¹ The other suspect was sentenced for imprisonment for failing to report the murder.

The examples above justify the hypothesis that SPOT tests greatly affect perpetrators' general activation, which is substantiated by such parameters as increased irregularity of breathing tracing and instability of the galvo tracing line when the test is repeated, greater changes of physiological parameters in comparison with CQT tests and stimulation test charts. This, however, is a subjective assessment, as they were analysed visually. Obviously, a computer polygraph would enable more precise measurement of physiological parameters and objective (numerical) assessment of the increase or decrease of emotional activation. It is still a hypothesis due to a small number of cases.

There are objective premises supporting the hypothesis proposed above. The most objective sign is the refusal of further participation in the examination, sometimes even after the first instance of administering a SPOT test. This reaction occurred much more frequently than in the case of examinations carried out with other techniques. In one case, when these tests were used by Keeler, after consecutive tests with a map the suspect destroyed the polygraph, thus preventing an administration of the last test (16). Another fact proving a great effect of SPOT tests on the subjects' mind is the relatively frequent occurrence of confession (confirmed by judicial sentences).

Yet another objective confirmation of the hypothesis lies in the results of the experiments carried out in Japan in the early 1960s, mentioned earlier: reactions to one question in SPOT test in the 'silent answer' version were displayed by 87.5% of the subjects, and by 90.2% when they gave negative answers (21). The same conclu-

or preserved. Bodies buried in shallow forest graves are uncovered by animals and almost always found accidentally by mushroom pickers.

¹¹ In the justification of the sentence, the court listed four more murders, whose perpetrators had not been found and with which the subject could have been linked (serious conflicts with the victims).

sion may be inferred from Dufek and Widacki's experiment mentioned above (5). The results are significant as they challenge the claims of the authors who identify polygraph examination with lie detection. Those subjects did not lie, because they did not say anything, while their emotions were caused by the issue formulated in the test.

An even more convincing argument for the hypothesis formulated above would be a comparison of the tracings of these people with those of the innocent, which will be presented in the next article.

The hypothesis of a considerable effect of SPOT tests on perpetrators' emotions should be interesting for psychologists, as it concerns behaviour after a crime was committed (disposing of the body or objects). Moreover, emotions were displayed by the subjects even though there was a slight chance or no chance of finding the object (plenty of time has passed in the meantime, the object was destroyed or taken away by strangers, the object did not display traces enabling identification of the perpetrator).

The following hypotheses may be proposed as to what the causes of the emotion could be:

- a) Fear of the consequences of the object being retrieved, i.e. proving a serious crime and the ensuing punishment. This explanation is not convincing, because sometimes the test did not provide for an option which the perpetrator actually used (as long as he or she told the truth). Moreover, in most cases so much time passed between the event and the examination that the hidden (abandoned) object would have disintegrated.
- b) The issue on which the test focused reminded the perpetrator of the event and the emotions that accompanied it. Are they caused by a memory or emotional trace?
- c) Can the changes of the parameters result from mental and physical countermeasures? It is hardly probable, because some parameters may be changed (shallowing or slowing down the breathing), but increasing the pulse rate or blood pressure cannot be achieved at will.

Another conclusion is concerned with the diagnostic significance of SPOT tests. I am of an opinion that we should first of all assess the general degree of the subject's emotional activation in consecutive test charts and in comparison with other tests (CQT test, simulation test). Decrease of activation constitutes a premise for excluding the subject (NDI), while its increase – for considering him or her as a DI. When an expert devotes all his or her efforts to finding changes in the tracings following one of the test's questions, he or she may acquire an incorrect result; if the perpetrator hid the object in several places, two or more options may elicit reactions.

The chance of finding the object (body) is practically non-existent. No such chance exists also if the perpetrator destroyed the object (e.g. incinerated it) or if places or methods not mentioned in the test were used. This would bring about an argument in favour of the defence of the accused if (after acquiring other evidence) a charge was filed. A similar problem arises if the subject reacts to one option, confesses, and even identifies the place, but the object is not found there and the accused revokes the confession in court. In my opinion it would be more appropriate if the expert stated that the problem to which the test is devoted activates the subject emotionally and that the activation tends to increase. Moreover, an expert opinion thus formulated would constitute a 'precaution' against the potential arguments of the accused mentioned above if the object is not found in the place to which he or she reacted. Another argument for such a sequence of the analysis is the ease with which the perpetrator may 'mask' the reaction to the right question by applying mental and physical countermeasures – it is sufficient to make breathing shallow or slower to cause changes in galvo tracing. However, it is more difficult to cause an increase in pulse rate or blood pressure at will. If the subject resorts to applying such measures, determining the place where the object is hidden or who the accomplice is will be impossible, but it will become a 'trap' for the perpetrator. Tracings of parameters, if they differ considerably from the physiological norm, will become one of the important premises of an accurate assessment.

Results of SPOT tests, consisting in the comparison of the degree of activation, may have a great diagnostic value for the general result of polygraph examination. They may considerably increase its accuracy, even if only as a screening test.


I must admit that in applying SPOT tests, I too often looked for a reaction to one question in the tracings of the parameters. Sometimes I resigned from repeating the test if a distinct change of two parameters was seen following one of the questions. This was a mistake, as I failed to appreciate the effect which the SPOT test has on the psyche and emotions of actual perpetrators, and especially the effect that the repetition of the test has on heightening of emotions. In result, the subjects did confess! If I had repeated the test, despite the subjects' distinct reactions, more would probably have confessed. The argument for repeating the test is justified not only by the will to determine whether the subject's reactions to one of the questions are not coincidental (i.e. whether they are repeatable), but by a greater probability of the perpetrator's confession.

References

- (1) Abrams S. (1989), *The complete polygraph handbook*, Lexington, Lexington Books.
- (2) Ansley N. (1992), The History and Accuracy of Guilty Knowledge and Peak of Tension Tests, *Polygraph*, Vol. 21, No. 3.
- (3) Ansley N. (1998), Question formulation, *Polygraph*, Vol. 27, No. 3.
- (4) Backster C. (1969), *Standardized polygraph examination notepack*, San Diego, Backster Associates.
- (5) Dufek M., Widacki J., Valkowa V. (1975), Eksperymentalne badania przydatności poligrafu do przeszukania pomieszczeń, *Archiwum Medycyny Sadowej i Kryminologii*, Vol. 25, No. 2.
- (6) Federal Psychophysiological Detection of Deception Examiner Handbook (2011), *Polygraph*, Vol. 40, No 1. Special Edition.
- (7) Harrelson L. (1964), *The Polygraph. Interrogation*, Chicago.
- (8) Hikita Y., Suzuki A. (1965), Construction and Interviewing of Probing Peak of Tension Tests for Some Other Crime Suspected and Their Results. *Research Materials*, No. 35. Polygraph Reports, National Research Institute of Police Science.
- (9) Hikita Y. (1969), Results of Probing Peak of Tension Tests Used in Other Crimes and Its Relation to the Suspected Conditions, *Research Materials*, No. 53, Polygraph Reports, National Research Institute of Police Science.
- (10) Jaworski R. (2001), Application of Situational Sequencing Test in the Case of Police Officers Suspected of Murder and POT Tests as ‘Knowledge of the Perpetrator Test’, *Journal of Forensic Identification*, Vol. 51, No. 2.
- (11) Jaworski R. (2003), The Significance of Situational Sequencing Tests in Establishing the Participation of Two Persons in a Murder Case and Hiding the Corpse, *Journal of Forensic Identification*, Vol. 53, No. 2.
- (12) Jaworski R. (2006), Further Investigation Supports the Accuracy of Polygraph Examinations, *Journal of Forensic Identification*, Vol. 56, No. 5.
- (13) Jaworski R. (2008), *Multi-subject polygraph examination*, Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Wrocławskiego [Wrocław University Press], Wrocław.
- (14) Jaworski R. (2008), The validity of Polygraph Examination of an Innocent Person and a Perpetrator Administered Several Years after a Murder, *European Polygraph*, Vol. 1, No. 3.

- (15) Jaworski R. (2011), Personalization and Calibration of the Control Question in the Control Question Test, *Journal of Forensic Identification*, Vol. 61, No. 5.
- (16) Johnston A. (1958), The magic lie detector, *Saturday Evening Post*, April 1944; quoted from: Academy Lectures on Lie-Detection, Vol. 2, Springfield [about Leonard Keeler].
- (17) Lykken D. (1981), *A tremor in the blood*, Perseus Books, New York.
- (18) Matte J.A. (1996), *Forensic Psychophysiology. Using the Polygraph. Scientific Truth Verification – Lie Detection*. J.A.M. Publications, Williamsville, New York.
- (19) Nakayama M. (2002), Practical Use of the Concealed Information Test for Criminal Investigation in Japan [in:] M. Kleiner (ed.), *Handbook of Polygraph Testing*, Academic Press, London.
- (20) National Research Council (2003), *The Polygraph and Lie Detection*, Committee to Review the Scientific Evidence on the Polygraph. Division of Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education. Washington DC, The National Academies Press.
- (21) Ohkawa H. (1963), Comparison of Physiological Response of ‘Yes’, ‘No’, and ‘Mute’ Conditions In Peak of Tension Test. *Reports of the National Institute of Police Science*, Japan, No. 1–4.
- (22) Ohmishi K., Matsuno K., Arasuna M., Suzuki A. (1976), The objective Analysis of Physiological Indices in the Field Detection of Deception, *Reports of the National Institute of Police Science*, Vol. 29, No. 3.
- (23) Reid J., Inbau F.E. (1977), *Truth and Deception: The Polygraph (‘Lie Detector’) Technique*, Baltimore, Williams and Wilkins.
- (24) Saldziunas V., Kovalenko A. (2008), The Event Knowledge Test (EKT) in Polygraph Examination (in case murder), *European Polygraph*, Vol. 2, No. 2 (4).
- (25) Saldziunas V., Kovalenko A. (2008), The Event Knowledge Test (EKT) In Polygraph Examination, *European Polygraph*, Vol. 2, No. 3–4 (5–6).
- (26) Saldziunas V., Kovalenko A. (2011), A Little About Memory Traces, *European Polygraph*, Vol. 5, No. 1 (15).
- (27) Saldziunas V., Kovalenko A., Knyazev V. (2012), Tests with Numbers, *European Polygraph*, Vol. 6, No. 1 (19).
- (28) Widacki J. (1981), Wprowadzenie do problematyki badań poligraficznych, Warszawa.
- (29) Wilkerson O.M. (1978), The Peak of Tension Tests Utilized in the Ashmore Kidnapping, *Polygraph*, Vol. 7, No. 1.

Book review



*J. Widacki (ed.),
Badania poligraficzne w Polsce
[literally: 'Polygraph
examination in Poland']*

Oficyna Wydawnicza AFM,
Kraków 2014, 309 pp.

Jan Widacki is one of the pioneer in the field of experimental research in polygraph examinations in Poland, and one of the pioneers in the field of performing such examinations for purposes of criminal proceedings. This time, the author and his team fill the gap in manuals of forensic sciences, which devote little space to the actual condition of polygraph examination in Poland. The book is composed of parts covering different aspects of polygraphy.

In Chapter I A. Domin-Kuźma, M. Huszcza and J. Widacki describe the contribution of Polish scientists to fields related to polygraph examination: experimental psychology, physiology, and psychophysiology. The authors remind

us of N. Cybulski's research on the human brain activity as well as his discover of adrenalin and E. Abramowski's – on the impact of emotions on breathing activity.

Chapter II (written by J. Widacki) is dedicated to history of polygraph examinations in Poland. The author presents results of his queries into the use of polygraph examination before the second world war. He also describes the application of polygraph examinations in the cases of two serial sexual killers (Zdzisław Marchwicki, Joachim Knychala), which were in the centre of attention in Poland about forty years ago. Next, he presents in detail the changes of legal framework concerning the use of polygraph examination in criminal cases. All that I believe to be missing is a short explanation of earliest use of polygraph examinations in Polish special forces, as the text includes only a remark about starting with such pre-employment and screening examinations in the early 1990s. Moreover, the services Widacki laid for the development of polygraph examinations in criminal cases need emphasising as the author belittles his achievements in the field.

The Chapter III concerns the legal sphere. First, A. Cempura, A. Kasolik, and J. Widacki take up the question of using examination results within a criminal proceeding to proceed to the legal framework for using the examinations in special forces. It is an interesting detail that a polygraph examination may be used as part of disciplinary proceeding against prosecutors. What I find disturbing about the polygraph is its application in private marital cases when there is a suspicion of marital infidelity. Some Polish experts find no ethical obstacles barring such examinations, and I share the authors' disapproval of such practices.

The same authors work on the verdicts of the Polish Supreme Court and the Court of Appeal on proofs from polygraph examination outcomes (Chapter IV). When applied to criminal cases, the authors' meticulousness is noteworthy.

In Chapter V, A. Ibek presents Polish research on polygraph examinations: experiments, case studies, result analyses, and general case analyses. Although the author makes no comments on the methodological aspects of the studies, they all are certain to hold the reader's attention.

In the following Chapter (VI), M. Gołaszewski and M. Widacki describe Polish practices of applying polygraph in criminal cases, working on a sample of approximately a hundred criminal cases in which polygraph examinations were performed in 2002–2012 (the sample includes over 10% of such cases, with opinions of different centres being well represented). The authors throw some light on the work of Polish polygraphers in the context of relevant world standards. I believe all Polish prosecutors and judges should become familiar if not versed with this material to

decide correctly about the significance of polygraph results. The authors comment on the time and place of examinations, polygrapher's professional qualifications, maintenance of equipment, assessment of quantification of physiological responses, and decisions about the configuration of obtained data. Examiners very often rely on an overall evaluation of polygraph charts (without using numerical scoring systems) even though such evaluations are beyond quality control because in the context of a particular polygraph examination, such a control is always based on comparing elements of the examination to established polygraph standards. For this reason, no decision-maker will be in a position to override polygrapher's opinion if she or he does not know standards of this kind of examination. The fact that many Polish lawyers never learn the accuracy of a polygrapher's decision and how it is used as evidence is a different case. They may not know the accuracy of others forensic methods either. That is why the data on the accuracy of polygraph examination tests (present in Chapter VI) are essential for lawyers. In the same chapter, the authors attract the reader's attention to negative practices in the Polish practice. Polygraph examinations are used to a minimal degree in Polish criminal cases. According to the authors such examinations 'are performed in just one in more than six thousand criminal cases, and moreover not all of them are performed correctly', while the lawyers' knowledge 'is often sufficiently low to prohibit the correct use of polygraph examinations'. It is alarming that there is no professional control of polygraph examination quality in Poland. An examination may be carried out incorrectly, and the expert needs not fear any consequences. The authors also recognise the matter of ethical standards, and only the issue of the quality of polygraph examination in Polish special forces requires augmenting.

In Chapter VII, A. Ibek and M. Wrońska carry out logical and methodological analyses of the content of polygraphers' opinions, focusing particularly on their conclusions. Justifiably, the authors underscore bizarreness and inconsistency in such statements.

The last Chapter (VIII, written by J. Widacki) concerns the presentation of polygraph examinations in handbooks of criminal and forensic studies. I agree with Widacki that they still represent the fundamental source of lawyers' knowledge of polygraph examination and their contents may influence putting expert witness opinions to proper use. Unfortunately, the author is right to report that Polish handbooks of forensic sciences misinform about polygraph examinations rather than inform about them. Let me add that no handbook informs lawyers about their accuracy.

I hope that the book reviewed will contribute to increasing the level of dispute on polygraph examinations in Poland. A lawyer should understand that a polygrapher's

opinion may be highly useful for crime prosecution (in faithful reconstruction of events) and for recruitment purposes. Yet proper application of polygraph examinations and evaluation of polygrapher opinions requires accumulated relevant knowledge. The book provides Polish readers with it, and therefore is precious both for polygraph decision-makers and practitioners alike.

Marek Leśniak*

* marek.lesniak@us.edu.pl

The basic information for Authors

To publication will be accepted unpublished research papers as well as review article, case reports, book reviews and reports connected with polygraph examinations.

Submitted manuscripts must be written in English.

All papers are assessed by referees (usually from Editorial Board), and after a positive opinion are published.

Texts for publication should be submitted in the form of normalized printout (1800 characters per page) and in electronic form (diskette, CD), or sent by e-mail to Editorial Office.

The total length of research papers and review article should not exceed 12 pages, case reports – 6 pages, and other texts (book review, report) – 5 pages.

The first page of paper should contain: the title, the full name of the author (authors), the name of institution where the paper was written, the town and country.

Figures should be submitted both in printed form (laser print, the best) and electronic form.

Tables should be numbered in Roman numerals and figures in Arabic ones.

Figures, tables, titles of figures and titles of tables should be included on a separate page. The places in the text where they are to be included should be indicated.

THE BASIC INFORMATION FOR AUTHORS

The references should be arranged in the alphabetical order according to the surnames of the authors.

The references should be after the text.

Each reference should include: the surname (surnames) of the author (authors), the first letter of author's first name, the title of the book, year and place of the publication, the name of publisher, or the title of the paper, the full title of the journal, the year, the volume, the number and the first page of the paper.

For example (in references):

Reid J., Inbau F. (1966), *Truth and Deception: the Polygraph ("Lie-detector") Techniques*, Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore.

Abrams S. (1973), *Polygraph Validity and Reliability – a Review*, Journal of Forensic Sciences, 18, 4, 313.

and (Reid, Inbau, 1966), (Abrams, 1973) inside text.

Texts for publication in "European Polygraph" should be mail to:

"European Polygraph"
Andrzej Frycz Modrzewski Krakow University
ul. Gustawa Herlinga-Grudzińskiego 1
30-705 Kraków (Poland)

or e-mail: margerita.krasnowolska@kte.pl

Rules and regulations concerning publishing papers in European Polygraph

1. All papers sent to European Polygraph by their respective authors undergo preliminary assessment by the Editor-in-Chief.
2. The initial assessment results in the decision whether to send the work for an independent review or return it to the author with the information that it will be not published.
3. Two independent reviewers for “internal reviews” are appointed by the Editor-in-Chief or by the Deputy Editor following consultation with the Editor-in-Chief.
4. The following cannot be independent reviewers: Editor-in-Chief, Deputy Editor-in-Chief, employees of Andrzej Frycz Modrzewski Krakow University, and people with papers published in the issue containing the reviewed paper.
5. The internal review should answer the question whether the reviewed paper is fit for printing and whether it requires any amendments, and if it does, state what they are, and must be in written form, and conclude in an unequivocal verdict concerning publication or rejection of an article.
6. If one of the reviewers provides comments and amendments, but does not disqualify the paper, the Editor pass the comments on to the author, asking for the author’s opinion and any amendments.
7. Should the opinions of the author and reviewer diverge, the decision to print the paper or otherwise is made by the Editor.
8. In the case mentioned in 7 above, before making their decision, Editor-in-Chief can appoint another independent reviewer.

9. In exceptional cases, when there are significant circumstances justifying such a decision, and the Editors do not agree with the opinion of the reviewer, Editors may decide to publish a paper against the opinion of the reviewer.
10. The names of reviewers is not disclosed to the author, and the names of authors are not disclosed to reviewers.
11. Book reviews and reports are not reviewed, the decision to publish them is the responsibility of the Editors.

Subscription: Terms and Conditions

Krakow Educational Society (Krakowskie Towarzystwo Edukacyjne sp. z o.o.), in its capacity of the distributor of Krakow University (Krakowska Akademia im. Andrzeja Frycza Modrzewskiego) publications, offers the subscription of European Polygraph, quarterly edited by Professor Jan Widacki, LL.D.

Please, send your orders by e-mail to: ksiegarnia@kte.pl, including:

- your full name (first and last in case of natural persons; registered business name in case of legal persons),
- address (permanent address or registered seat),
- tax identification number,
- address for delivery of your copies of European Polygraph,
- number of successive issues ordered (minimum 4), and
- number of copies of each issue.

The price of a single copy of European Polygraph is PLN 20 (USD 7.5, € 5.5). Shipment costs will be added on top of the subscription price depending on your country of residence.

